

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 and 12-25 are pending in the present application. Claims 2-11 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter contained therein. Claims 12-25 have been added.

**A. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph**

Claims 1 and 12-25 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant considers as the invention. This rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, in view of the above amendments, is respectfully traversed.

As set forth above, the claims have been amended to recite a “composite view” and a “new composite view.” The originally filed specification provides adequate support for the recitation of a “composite view” and a “new composite view.” For example, paragraph [0010] of the above-identified published patent application discusses the generation of a composite view and the updating of the composite view to create a new composite view.

Accordingly, in view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

**B. Objection to the Drawings**

The Drawings have been objected to for failing to illustrate the claimed invention. This objection is respectfully traversed.

In formulating the objection, the Examiner alleges that the drawings do not illustrate the claimed invention. More specifically, the Examiner alleges that the drawings fail to illustrate a first image, a second image, a split-bar, an intra-document, a composite image, and a new composite image. These allegations are respectfully traversed in view of the amendments set forth above and the arguments set forth below.

With respect to an intra-document, a composite image, and a new composite image, the claims have been amended to bring the language into consistency with the

originally filed specification. Thus, the objection relating to an intra-document, a composite image, and a new composite image is moot.

With respect to first image, a second image, a split-bar, originally filed Figure 2a and Figure 2b illustrate a first image, a second image, and a split-bar. More specifically, in describing Figure 2a and Figure 2b, paragraph [0011] of the above-identified published patent application discusses the creation of three separate images, a right part, a center line, and a left part. Therefore, Figure 2a and Figure 2b adequately illustrate the claimed invention.

Accordingly, in view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the objection to the Drawings.

### **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, in view of the amendments and the reasons set forth above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the present rejection and objection. Also, an early indication of allowability is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael J. Nickerson", with a stylized, flowing script.

Michael J. Nickerson  
Registration No. 33,265  
Basch & Nickerson LLP  
1777 Penfield Road  
Penfield, New York 14526  
Telephone: (585) 899-3970

MJN/mjn